THE TAX INSTITUTE



What we will cover



- Trustee-Member Rules
- Trustee Succession
 - Individual v Corporate Trustees
 - Katz v Grossman example
- Reversionary pensions
- Binding death benefit nominations
- Legislative update (TR 2013/5)
- Hardwiring deeds
- Invalidity (or incapacity)



- Definition of SMSF outlined in s17A of the SISA
 - Have less than 5 members
 - Each trustee/trustee director is a fund member, unless a single member fund
 - Each member of the fund is a trustee/director
 - No member of the fund is an employee of another member unless related
 - No trustee receives remuneration for trustee services
 - The fund is a resident regulated superannuation fund



- Children (under 18) can be members,
- and their parents can hold office as a trustee (or director of a corporate trustee) in their place
- Enduring powers of attorney can be used in case of incapacity once the fund is established
- But not to establish a SMSF

Trustee-member rules Who are members of your SMSF?



THE TAX INSTITUTE THE MARK OF EXPERTISE

- Once over 18, children have the legal right to be trustees (or directors of corporate trustee)
- This gives rise to a succession planning opportunity via the trustee of the SMSF
- Assets can be allocated to member accounts via the SMSF – segregation of assets
- But....

REMEMBER FAMILY DYNAMICS



THE TAX INSTITUTE



Trustee-member rules Who are members of your SMSF?



- If employer company is also trustee of SMSF
- Consider whether it is a wise decision to have children as directors of that company?
- Consider Triway Superannuation Fund and Commissioner of Taxation [2011] AATA 302,
- where a drug addicted son 'cleaned out' the SMSF where the son and his parents were members
- Family feuds can also make SMSFs difficult to run!

Trustee-member rules Who are members of your SMSF?



THE TAX INSTITUTE THE MARK OF EXPERTISE

- In Basil Notaras v Brinos Notaras [2012] NSWSC 947, two brothers refused to co-operate in relation to their joint SMSF, due to a separate family feud
 - Brinos withdrew almost \$60,000 more than his member entitlement from the fund, and also refused to sign a number of compliance documents (eg, tax returns)
 - Order granted from the NSW Supreme Court to have Brinos replaced as trustee
 - A very expensive and painful process!



- Consider Trustee Succession
 - Whoever holds office as trustee will impact on how death benefits are paid
 - As such, this is an important aspect of SMSF succession planning
 - Need to examine the differences between:
 - Corporate v Individual Trustees



- The deed will usually outline who succeeds an individual trustee – generically expressed
- If individual trustees, usually (under the trust deed) the survivor trustee will have power to change the trustee
- This is common in a lot of deeds, ok for perhaps Mum and Dad (depends on facts), but for siblings or business partners?
- Alternatively, the legal personal representative ('LPR') of the deceased member will be appointed – a safer approach
- When an individual trustee dies, change of trustee documents may need to be executed to appoint the replacement

Trustee succession Corporate trustee



- Smoother succession occurs with a corporate trustee (simply change director)
- The company will continue on after the member's death
- This means no change of trustee upon member's death
- Change of director forms must be lodged via ASIC
- ATO will also need to be notified

Trustee succession Corporate trustee



- Advantages of a Corporate Trustee
 - Asset protection the capacity argument
 - More efficient for estate planning as follows:
 - Successor directors can be nominated via the constitution to allow them to hold office after the death of the member
 - Eg, constitution may allow a director to appoint a successor director in their place after their death
 - To implement this an additional agreement/nomination of successor director may be needed



- Advantages of a corporate trustee (continued)
 - Shares in the company can be gifted via the deceased member's will
 - Less administration when a member dies no change of trustee required
 - If no corporate trustee, it can be cumbersome from a registration viewpoint if there are numerous assets such as property or shares

Example below is adapted from *Katz v Grossman* [2005] NSWSC 934, a leading case on SMSF succession:

- Mr and Mrs Katz were individual trustees and members of an SMSF
- Mrs Katz died and Mr Katz was the sole surviving trustee and member
- He appointed his daughter, Linda Grossman as a trustee in place of his wife

Individual trustees can be dangerous?



- Mr Katz also signed a 'non-binding' death benefit nomination
- Non-binding nomination not discussed in detail in judgment
- Likely that nomination did not comply with relevant provisions of SISA (s 59)
- Nomination was probably similar to the standard pro forma 'non-binding' nomination handed out by public offer funds
 - needs to say it is binding and be properly witnessed!

Individual trustees can be dangerous?

- Mr Katz intended for both his daughter (Linda) and son (Daniel) to benefit from his superannuation assets
- When Mr Katz passed away, Linda was left holding office as the sole trustee of the fund
- Linda appointed her husband, Peter, as a second individual trustee of the fund
- Linda and her husband then resolved to pay Mr Katz's death benefits entirely to her
- NSW Supreme Court held that Linda's appointment of her husband was valid

- How could this injustice against Daniel been avoided?
 - Have a corporate trustee!
 - The trustee could have continued with Mr Katz as the sole director after Mrs Katz's death
 - Successor directors could be nominated to specifically succeed Mrs Katz, depending on constitution eg, Mr Katz nominates Son and Daughter
 - Or complete a valid binding death benefit nomination ('BDBN')
 - If Mr Katz properly executed a BDBN, the trustee (Linda and her husband) would be bound to follow it



- Despite advantages of corporate trustee, the majority of SMSFs have individual trustees
- As at 30 June 2011, 74% of SMSFs had individual trustees
- 90% of SMSFs established in the year ended 30 June 2011 were established with individual trustees (source: self-managed superannuation funds. A statistical overview 2009-10. ATO publication)

Why?

Pensions on death

Auto-reversionary v BDBN

- Auto-reversionary pension vs binding death benefit nomination ('BDBN')
- Which one wins?
- Example:
 - H and W are members of the HW Super Fund
 - H has a reversionary pension in place that will revert to W on his death
 - Additionally, H has a BDBN that directs the trustee to pay all his super to his estate
 - If H dies, which of the pension v BDBN will win?

Pensions on death

<u>Auto-reversionary v BDBN</u>

- THE TAX INSTITUTE
- Auto-reversionary pension vs binding death benefit nomination ('BDBN')
- Which one wins?





Pensions on death Auto-reversionary v BDBN

THE TAX INSTITUTE

THE MARK OF EXPERTISE

- Example: (continued)
 - It depends on the deed?
 - Established principal of law is that a trustee cannot fetter their discretion
 - 'Fetter' : is not a type of cheese; it refers to a restriction
 - One exception to this principal is where the deed provides a restriction (*Muir v Inland Revenue Commissioners* [1966] 1 WLR 1269, 1283)
 - SMSF deeds should provide a valid fetter of a trustee's discretion in relation to the BDBN, which will bind the trustee to follow the BDBN

Pensions on death Auto-reversionary v BDBN

The tax institute

THE MARK OF EXPERTISE

- Notwithstanding, honouring pension documentation, eg reversionary pensions, will still be at the discretion of the trustee
- The pension documents are a mere request of the trustee, the trustee has the option whether to honour this request
- <u>However</u>, BDBNs usually bind the trustee
- For example, consider the clause in Morrows' trust deed
 - 11. The Trustee exercises its powers subject to any written direction signed by a Member in respect of:
 - (a) a nominated Beneficiary who is to receive benefits on the death of a Member...
- the trustee's discretion under the deed is restricted by this clause, (a valid fetter) which relates to BDBNs

Pensions on death Auto-reversionary v BDBN



- Documentation is the key
- Have a trust deed, BDBN and pension documents that aligns
- Ensure that the deed is updated to provide for BDBNs
- Note that 'reversionary' pension documentation may not be essential from a tax perspective due to recent legislation, as well as finalisation of TR 2013/5



Finalisation of TR 2013/5 (Draft ruling TR 2011/D3)

- Ruling concerned with when a pension commences and ceases (including cessation on death of a member)
- Draft ruling highlighted the importance of reversionary pensions and binding death benefit nominations
- The ruling outlines that if you don't have a reversionary pension via pension documents or BDBN, the pension ceases on death of the member
- However, recent changes to legislation have changed the tax position when a member dies without pension succession



- Income Tax Assessment Amendment (Superannuation Measures No. 1) Regulation 2013
 - Amends Income Tax Assessment Regulations 1997 (Cth), reg 995-1.01
 - Amendment to tax treatment of assets supporting a pension after a member dies
 - These assets can now be sold tax-free, even if the pension is not reversionary and does not continue after death
 - Proposed changes apply retrospectively from 1 July 2012

THE TAX INSTITUTE

- In summary:
 - TR 2013/5 is now finalised
 - Amendment to Income Tax Assessment Regulations 1997 (Cth) will make sale of pension assets tax free on death
- So, why bother with the documentation?
 - Tax shouldn't be the only consideration, reversionary pension and binding nomination documentation provide certainty

Pensions on death hardwiring trust deed



- 'Hardwiring' a trust deed with member's succession is also an option
- Particularly useful for 'blended families'
- Example:
 - John Smith ('JS') is currently married to a second spouse ('W')
 - He has children from his first marriage, and a stepson from his second
 - John has the JS Super Fund and he 'hardwires' the trust deed to provide an income stream for his second spouse during her lifetime (without access to capital)
 - The capital is to go to his children from his first marriage





- 'Guardian' will need to be a party to a variation to the deed
- Guardian could be JS's children from first marriage
- For this example to be effective, W and JS must agree to align their estate planning
- This requires a mutual wills agreement, as well as W including JS's children in her will
- Depending on family dynamic, it may not work in all circumstances.
- It requires, JS, W and JS's children to all work together!

Pensions on death hardwiring trust deed

THE TAX INSTITUTE

Additional Example: Blended Family using hard wired trust deed



Pensions on death

hardwiring trust deed



- (From prior example)
 - W has the right notwithstanding a mutual will to change it, to say give her child the benefits
 - Changing it may assist a TFM claim in the courts, by JS's children, but she has the right!
- Solution
 - JS can establish two super funds and quarantine his super benefits payable to each of his second spouse and bloodline children
 - Hard wired trust deeds could be used to 'lock in' his succession to the respective beneficiaries in the actual trust deed
 - This would mean that his super benefits could not be changed via his estate
 - Further, the administration of his super funds after his death would be clear, due to the directions in the deed

- Focus of presentation so far has been on succession on death
- But what if a member became incapacitated during their lifetime?
- Importance of enduring power of attorney ('EPoA')
- Person holding an EPoA in respect of a member can make decisions on behalf of the member in relation to their SMSF
- Legislative power for this to happen per SISA s 17A(3)(b)
- ATO gives further guidance per SMSFR 2010/2
- Having EPoA is not enough, the attorney must be appointed to office of trustee

Example

- Andrew and Bernice are individual trustees and members of the AB Super Fund.
- Andrew works in the CBD and unfortunately gets hit by a bus on his way to work, which sends him into a coma
- What if Andrew did not grant an EPoA?
 - Bernice cannot make trustee decisions in relation to the fund by herself.
 - Both trustees are required to make decisions
 - Hope that deed provides for trustee succession if there is incapacity?
 - Apply to VCAT (or similar tribunal) for an order
 - But this can be costly, and Bernice is not guaranteed to be picked as attorney



- Example continued
 - A lot easier if Andrew had signed an EPoA
 - A corporate trustee will also greatly assist with this succession planning

- Morrows Legal Pty Ltd is an established legal practice operating within the broader Morrows Group. Our lawyers have expertise in the following areas:
 - Trust deeds (SMSFs, discretionary trusts and unit trusts)
 - Superannuation law borrowing, compliance and structuring advice, drafting relevant source documents
 - Property law conveyancing, subdivisions and developments
 - Commercial law shareholders/unitholders agreements, buy-sell agreements, loan agreements, sales of business
 - Estate planning wills, powers of attorney, succession planning, probate
 - Taxation law income tax, capital gains, stamp duty, GST



Legal disclaimer

- The content in this presentation is based on our understanding of relevant laws and proposals as at 16 October 2013
- This presentation is for illustrative purposes and to provide general guidance only. It should not be relied upon as specific legal advice
- We note that the material may be subject to change in the future due to changes in law and/or policy
- Questions?



- Murray Wyatt
- mwyatt@morrows.com.au
- (03) 9690 5700
- Nathan Papson
- npapson@morrows.com.au
- (03) 9690 5700
- Wendy Dickson
- wdickson@morrows.com.au
- (03) 9690 5700

THE TAX INSTITUTE

THE MARK OF EXPERTISE

Thank you

Please complete your evaluation forms and return them to the registration desk THE TAX INSTITUTE

THE MARK OF EXPERTISE



Event 1 Event 2 Event 3 Event 4 Event 5 Event 6 Event 7



© Murray Wyatt and Nathan Papson 2013

Disclaimer: The material and opinions in this paper are those of the author and not those of The Tax Institute. The Tax Institute did not review the contents of this presentation and does not have any view as to its accuracy. The material and opinions in the paper should not be used or treated as professional advice and readers should rely on their own enquiries in making any decisions concerning their own interests.